

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mt

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/993,387  | 11/16/2001  | Jeffrey Raynor       | 00ED18852609        | 4936             |  |
| 27975 7590 03/07/2007 ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | DANIELS, ANTHONY J  |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 2622                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIN DATE           | DELIVERYMORE     |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 03/07/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/993,387         | RAYNOR ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit      |  |  |
| Anthony J. Daniels | 2622          |  |  |

| ·  | Anthony J. Daniels   | 2622  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one<br>with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)           |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | =  |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da                                | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi                    | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   | ns of the date of<br>ie appeal. Since    |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>  |  |   | ecause                                   |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  |  | i L below),   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei  | • •  | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                           |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                              |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | ·   | _  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of                           |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11,13-30 and 32-40.   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | vit or other evidence i   | s necessary and                          |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a                         |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacl   | ned.                                     |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                             |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | •   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Anthony Daniels Camera Division 26                                      | 322                                      |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the independent claims requires further search and consideration. However, the amendment appears to overcome the AAPA.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600